

# Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

## Minutes of 9<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SUGC

Venue: Exam Cell, IIITA

Date and Time: September 12, 2016 at 3:30PM

The 9<sup>th</sup> meeting of SUGC was held on 12/09/2016 in Exam Cell at 3:30PM. The following members were present:

1. Dr. BS Sanjeev – Chairman
2. Dr. Pavan Saini – Secretary
3. Dr. Pritish Varadwaj – Member (nominee of Prof. Krishna Misra)
4. Dr. Manish Kumar – Member
5. Dr. Manish Goswami – Member
6. Dr. Abdullah Bin Abu Baker – Senate Nominee
7. Dr. Pavan Chakraborty – Special Invitee
8. Kshitij Tripathi (ICM2014007) – Student observer
9. Yanamadala Jai Prakash (IIT2013068) – Student observer

The issues discussed and the resolutions made were as follows:

### 1. Confirming minutes of the previous meeting

The minutes of the 8th SUGC were confirmed incorporating the modification regarding details required in medical report in the draft circulated as was suggested by Convener, DUGC (IT). SUGC accepted the (revised) medical report which was in favour of the student (Annex 1). Therefore, recommendations made under Point 2 of 8<sup>th</sup> SUGC minutes (2a-c) would stand.

### 2. The issue of Mohd. Wajahat.

**Mohd. Wajahat exceeded maximum duration for B.Tech (IT) programme. His case should be recommended to Senate. (Annex 2)**

The SUGC made the following resolutions:

- a. Mr. Wajahat claimed that the panel of Prof. GC Nandi asked him to present the project in July 16. This claim needs to be verified.
- b. Based on the feedback back as sought above:
  - i. If the claim turns out to be true, then SUGC shall recommend Senate awarding of Degree to through a waiver of maximum duration as he obtained extension to continue his programme.
  - ii. If the claim turns out to be false, SUGC shall recommend Senate termination of his programme due to crossing of maximum duration.
- c. SUGC observed that the permissions obtained by Mr. Wajahat were contrary to the norms set by Senate and hence, such waivers should have been placed in Senate for approval/ratification in its immediate meeting. SUGC recommends Senate to direct the Institute administration to follow the procedure in future on case-by-case basis.

### 3. Medals for Dual Degree students

**It is proposed that dual degree students be awarded merit based medals as is currently given to several other programmes.**

SUGC recommended merit based awards as is being done for B.Tech programmes. However, given the lesser number of students in each specialization (about 4-8), the merit list may include all streams of Dual Degree B.Tech-PG courses (ie M.Tech/MBA). Similar case with M.Tech-PhD may be addressed by SPGC.

### 4. Names of dual degree programmes

**The dual degree courses were sometimes referred interchangeably as integrated courses. It is proposed that the name of the programme be resolved as a Dual Degree programme.**

SUGC requested DR (Academic) to recommend on the issue of appropriate name of the programmes consistent with CASB nomenclature. The recommendation may be placed in the next SUGC meeting so that a proposal can be put up in Senate at the earliest.

### 5. F-grade in back after obtaining E-grade

**It is proposed that if a student already has E-grade and obtains F-grade later for reason, the previous E-grade may be retained.**

SUGC agreed to the proposal.

### 6. Introduction of R-grade

**It is proposed that R-grade be introduced to function as explained below:**

- Students without minimum attendance may be provisionally allowed to appear in the End Semester examination.
- Such students will be allowed to appeal Senate in its next meeting for exception.
- If student fails to lodge an appeal or if the Senate rejects the appeal, the student shall be awarded R grade. However, if the Senate condones the appeal, the student shall be awarded grade obtained based on performance as usual.
- R-grade shall be shown in gradesheet and in transcript.
- Calculation of SGPI, CGPI and total credits, however, shall not include subjects with R-grade as the registration to the course is technically cancelled.
- R-grade reflects that the course registration was cancelled, and does not, consequently, can either stand for pass or fail grade.

The R grade proposal to reflect '*Registration cancelled for want of minimum attendance*' was accepted.

### 7. SGPI and CGPI calculations

**It is proposed the SGPI and CGPI calculations should be performed in totality of the concerned courses, including the ones with E- and F-grades (with *gpa* as 0). This is necessary as the current implementation (with SGPI of semesters with F-grade as undefined and CGPI being weighted average over semesters) is causing inconvenience to students seeking bank loan for studies.**

SUGC agreed to the proposal.

**8. Grades in Additional/Extra Curricular courses**

**The issue of showing additional extracurricular grades on a separate grade sheet needs to be discussed as referred to by the Senate.**

SUGC felt that inputs should be obtained from the departments as wider discussions are required on the issue.

**9. Make-Up exam**

**A policy note needs to be prepared by SUGC regarding Make-Up exams to be placed in Senate.**

SUGC felt that inputs should be obtained from the departments as wider discussions are required on the issue.

**10. Prerequisites**

**DUGC (IT) shall present resolution regarding prerequisites in the meeting.**

Convener, DUGC (IT) informed that IT Department supports and has implemented prerequisites from the current semester.

**11. Any other issue with the permission of the chair**

**11.a Feedback from RWSA students**

SUGC members agreed that the DUGCs shall look into the issue of reasons behind the concerned students from first and second year not attending classes. SUGC shall do the same for the rest of the students. The actual mechanism of obtaining feedback would be decided by the concerned committee.



(Dr. PK Saini)



(Dr. B. S. Sanjeev)